

Statewide Energy Auditing for Energy Master Plan

State of South Dakota January 13, 2010

Outline

- Introductions
- Approach & Timeline
- Current Energy Situation
- Investigation & Findings
- Recommendations




Project Team

Project Role	Project Role	Certified Energy Manager	LEED Accredited	Professional Engineer (PE)	Project Management	Energy Efficiency Studies	Retro-commissioning	Energy Financial Analysis	Energy Modeling	Building Systems M&V	Renewable Energy Studies	Energy Efficiency Reviews	Sustainable Design	
Larry Jensen	Principal-in-Charge		Х	х	х	Х	х	Х				х	Х	
Peter Dahl	Sustainability Specialist		х		х			х				х	Х	
Jim Miller	Mechanical Engineer	х		х		Х	х	х	Х		Х	х	Х	
Tom Schubbe	Senior Engineer Associate					Х		Х	Х		Х			
Doug Lucht	Senior Mechanical Engineer			х		Х	х	Х	Х			х	Х	
Paul Johnson	Senior Mechanical Engineer	х	Х	х		Х		х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	
Matt McReynolds	Mechanical Engineer			х		Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	
Matt Wolf	Mechanical Engineer		Х	х		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	х	Х	
Rob Bortscheller	Mechanical Engineer					Х		х	Х			х	Х	
Todd Lagus	Mechanical Staff Engineer					Х	х	Х	Х	Х		х	Х	
Mike Temme	Mechanical Staff Engineer					Х		Х	Х			Х	Х	
Tim Kempf	Mechanical Staff Engineer 3					Х		Х	Х			Х		1
	<u> </u>			•							•			

Energy Proj	ect Exp	erience
--------------------	---------	---------

Project	Energy Assessment and Review	Retro-commissioning	Systems Measurement and Verification	Energy Modeling	Energy Financial Analysis	Renewable / Alternative Energy	Project Management / Owner's Representative	Equipment and Systems Operations Training	Energy Efficiency Reviews	Environmental Engineering	Sustainable Engineering Design	
Biomass Feasibility Study		X			X	X				X		ĺ
Biomass Feasibility Study		X			X	X				X		l
Biomass Fuel Feasibility Study		X			X	X						l
Commissioning/Energy Modeling	X			X	X				X			l
Commissioning/Energy Modeling	X		X	X	X				X			l
Energy Analysis Engineering Assistance Study	X	X			X							l
Energy and Greenhouse Management Master Plan	X	X			X					X		ĺ
Energy and Sustainability Planning	X									X	X	ĺ
Energy Assessment/Energy Modeling	X	X		X	X							ĺ
Energy Assessments/Energy Modeling	X	X		X	X							ĺ
Energy Audit & Engineering Services	X	X			X			X		X		ĺ
Energy Audits	X	X	X	X	X							ĺ
Energy Consulting Services	X	X			X							ĺ
Energy Infrastructure Project	X	X			X		X			X		İ
Energy Modeling and Retro-Commissioning	X	X	X	X	X							ĺ
Investment Grade Energy Audit	X	X			X							ĺ
LEED Commissioning/Energy Modeling	X		X	X	X							ĺ
Natural Gas Production From Biomass		X			X	X					1	İ
Solar Energy Project						X	X				1	İ
Utility and Energy Assessment	X	X			X							İ
Wind Energy Feasibility Studies and Design		X			X	X						1/
	•		4		•	•	•	•	\$3	SEBE	STA MBERC	



- Introductions
- Approach & Timeline



6

Scope

- 1. Analyze and quantify energy use and cost
- Perform site investigations to identify highimpact energy efficiency measures
- 3. Quantify economics and energy savings

4 months to audit 14,267,082 SF

7



Timeline

Start August 5, 2009

Site Investigations: 7 weeks

Delivered December 1, 2009



Approach & Process

- · Facility targeting:
 - Defined facility types & analyzed energy use data
 - Identified key facilities with high potential for energy efficiency
 - Prioritized facilities based on energy intensity

9



Approach & Process

- Site investigation:
 - Collected building systems & operations data
 - Interviewed operations staff
 - Identified measures during facility assessment
 - Multi-building campuses included campus-wide and facility-specific measures

Approach & Process

- Technical Analysis for each measure:
 - Estimated probable implementation cost
 - Summarized operations effects
 - Developed a preliminary estimate of potential energy savings and energy cost avoidance
- Evaluated renewable energy generation potential and performance
- Recommended additional energy
 management practices for consideration

11



Approach & Process

- · Reporting:
 - Evaluated measures based on investment costs per unit of energy saved, and simple payback
 - Assigned relative priority based on metrics
 - Summarized potential for energy savings relative to current consumption

- Introductions
- · Approach & Timeline
- Current Energy Situation

13



Current Energy Situation

- Historically, energy in South Dakota was relatively inexpensive, but that has changed.
 - Consumption increasing
 - Exceeding WAPA allocations
 - Rates increasing
- Tracking energy consumption
 - Statewide database, EnergyCAP

Current Energy Situation

- · Past energy projects:
 - Lighting upgrades
 - Updating HVAC controls
 - Add'l insulation on steam lines
 - Boilers replacements and upgrades
 - Roof replacements and upgrades
 - Installing VFDs for motor controls
 - Heat recovery
 - Steam efficiency
 - Window replacement included in renovations

15



1st State building to receive LEED® Certification



16



Current Energy Situation


- Building Construction
 - 2008: LEED Silver certification required
 - Underway: 9 renovations, 12 new construction
 - OSE enforces ASHRAE 90.1-2007 as the energy standard for State buildings
- State Procurement
 - Energy Star appliances and equipment
- Renewable Energy Generation
 - Capitol Lake Plaza
 - · Geothermal, Photovoltaic & Wind
 - Star Academy Biomass Boiler



Current Energy Situation


Energy Use in MMBTUs				
Agency	2008			
Bureau of Administration (BOA)	101,637			
Board of Regents (BOR)	1,255,598			
Department of Human Services (DHS)	240,984			
Department of Agriculture (DOA)	12,257			
Department of Corrections (DOC)	245,293			
Department of Transportation (DOT)	83,491			
Game, Fish and Parks (GFP)	25,118			
Leased Facilities (LSA)	67,670			
Military and Veterans Administration (MVA)	133,874			
TOTAL	2,165,922			






Current Energy Situation

Energy Expenditures					
Agency	2008				
Bureau of Administration (BOA)	\$981,911				
Board of Regents (BOR)	\$11,332,390				
Department of Human Services (DHS)	\$2,188,040				
Department of Agriculture (DOA)	\$141,374				
Department of Corrections (DOC)	\$2,547,677				
Department of Transportation (DOT)	\$1,268,552				
Game, Fish and Parks (GFP)	\$550,029				
Leased Facilities (LSA)	\$754,729				
Military and Veterans Administration (MVA)	\$702,210				
TOTAL	\$20,076,376				





- Introductions
- · Approach & Timeline
- Current Energy Situation
- Investigation & Findings

23



Investigation & Findings

- Investigated 9.5 million SF of buildings
- 1168 Energy Conservation Measures at 252 buildings
 - 569 lighting measures
 - · 229 controls measures
 - 164 heating measures
 - 87 retrocommissioning measures
 - 119 other measures

Investigation & Findings

% Energy Use Reduction	Reduction in MMBTUs	Implementation Cost	Annual Savings
5%	108,296	\$1,445,575	\$956,832
10%	216,592	\$7,390,503	\$1,996,594
15%	324,888	\$32,738,588	\$3,569,855
20%	433,184	\$58,147,238*	\$5,232,726*

* NOTE: values extrapolated to buildings outside of the investigation, based on findings of buildings within the investigation

25



Viability of Renewables

- Best of the Renewables:
 - Wind Turbines:

• Large scale: 20 years

• Small scale: 37 years

- Photovoltaics: 129 years

SEBESTA BLOMBERG

26

- Introductions
- Approach & Timeline
- Current Energy Situation
- Investigation & Findings
- Recommendations

27



Recommendations

- Provide an Energy Manager for Agencies
- Advanced metering & monitoring
 - Estimated costs to install:
 - \$5-10K per building
 - \$250K for a large campus
 - Save an additional 5-20% of utility budget
- Develop LEED Implementation Guide

Recommendations

- Continued & expanded use of a Statewide Energy Database
- Use Energy Star Portfolio Manager to rate energy efficiency of buildings
- Apply LEED to existing building operations
- Include energy management considerations when leasing space

29





Discussion